

## My adventures as a Troll

I am blocked and I am not talking about constipation (yes, I know, toilet humour is childish). I “popped my cherry” yesterday (another euphemism) and was blocked for the first time on twitter. Does that officially make me a troll? Have I now graduated? Do I get a certificate? So many questions. Anyway, I read (yesterday) about some twitter commentators being pathetic and actually writing articles about why they had

been blocked and I thought I can do that to. I can be pathetic. Coincidentally, my tweet “feed” (I am still learning about the twitterverse) had a post referring to this [Daily Mail Article](#)

A screenshot of a Daily Mail Australia article. The page features the Daily Mail logo and "AUSTRALIA" below it. A navigation bar includes links for Home, U.K., U.S., News, World News, Sport, TV&Showbiz, Femail, Health, and Science. Below this is a secondary navigation bar with links for Latest Headlines, Facebook, YouTube, Google, and eBay. The main content area is titled "Advertisement" and features a large headline: "Twitter is set to test feature that lets you BLOCK people from replying to your tweets, leaving many to questions how misinformation will be debunked on the platform". Below the headline is a bulleted list of four points: "Twitter spoke at CES to share a new feature it is testing among a small group", "It will let users block everyone from replying to their tweets or allow anyone to", "There are options to let just those you follow and those mentioned to reply", and "The feature should roll out to all users of the platform later in the year".

Daily Mail  
AUSTRALIA

Home | U.K. | U.S. | News | World News | Sport | TV&Showbiz | Femail | Health | Science

Latest Headlines | Facebook | YouTube | Google | eBay

Advertisement

### Twitter is set to test feature that lets you BLOCK people from replying to your tweets, leaving many to questions how misinformation will be debunked on the platform

- Twitter spoke at CES to share a new feature it is testing among a small group
- It will let users block everyone from replying to their tweets or allow anyone to
- There are options to let just those you follow and those mentioned to reply
- The feature should roll out to all users of the platform later in the year

Now that is what I call strange synchronicity. I was in two minds as whether to go ahead with any response but then I came across a tweet in my feed that referred to the above article and that changed my mind. I understand that sometimes people (who are abusive) need to be blocked. I understand also that tweets that use very foul language may need to be removed from view. What I don't understand is why you have to stop robust debate. Why do you need to block anyone from presenting a counter argument? Make your case. Anything else is (in my view) cowardice and an admission that you have nothing to say. When you do this, you are being intellectually dishonest. I was able to recover my own tweets and screenshot them. I did not post any replies because I would need to establish another account etc (there are ways) and it is not worth the hassle. Ignorant people will never be persuaded (that is my life experience). I am willing to change my mind and have done so many times during my life. If you still believe the same things that you did when you were in your twenties then you have learned nothing. However, a more sinister view (as the Daily Mail article refers to) is that this is done so **that disinformation is allowed to stand**. That takes lying and propaganda into a whole new dimension. If lies are not challenged, they become the new established truth.

The most annoying thing was **that I could no longer even read what I had tweeted**. I do not know if this is the "new system" (yet) or if anyone else can read my tweets on this particular thread. Of course, I can remember what I wrote (not verbatim) but why can't I read my own tweet? So, I launched my VPN and a different browser and voila I was able to retrieve and screenshot the tweets (nah, nah). The reason that I am doing this is because it throws a light on an important section of Trump supporters – **namely Religious Jewish Trump supporters**. Initially, I had no idea that the tweeter was Jewish, but this became apparent by the second comment. We all know that anyone that disagrees with a Jew is automatically an anti-Semite, a fascist and/or a white supremacist. I don't even feel the necessity to defend myself against such ridiculous charges and it will never prevent me from speaking my mind.

## How it all began

It started with me checking out the tweets by Patrick Henningsen of 21<sup>st</sup> Century Wire for updates on the Iran situation. The Wire runs an excellent website. I am relatively new to twitter, but it is very, very good for breaking news and becoming indispensable (until they decide to de-platform altogether) and often the comments are perceptive. Anyway, I came across this tweet (screenshot):



Now the word metaphysical carries this meaning:

*adjective*

1. relating to metaphysics.

"the essentially metaphysical question of the nature of mind"

Metaphysics and truth are central themes in philosophy and a number of theories exist and tomes of blah, blah have been written (from antiquity onwards cf. Plato). The notion of truth is therefore part of a more thoroughgoing metaphysics or epistemology and explaining the nature of truth becomes an application of some metaphysical system, and truth inherits significant metaphysical presuppositions along the way. However, I like to keep things simple. Jesus says that **he is the truth** (John 14:6) and that is good enough for me. In the Biblical definition truth becomes a metaphor for the fulfilment of the patriarchal covenants (Mic 7:20) and the epistemological metaphysics is answered with **Jesus Christ is the truth**. Therefore, the reference to metaphysics piqued my interest. Of course, the case can be made that this is a cultural war, a religious war, a spiritual war etc but that sounds like so much semantics. I have made the case myself that a religious element lies behind these events. Also, in previous tweets Nicholas squarely laid the blame on **corporate fascism**. This was my initial tweet (**My first metaphysical truth bomb LOL**):

**More replies**

 **Paulus Wyns** @PaulusWyns · 44m  
Replying to @molodyko  
Soleimani begs to differ. He believes that the rocket was real but is open to persuasion. Your definition of fascism is simplistic. There is right wing and left wing fascism Anglo-Zionists fighting Globo-Bolsheviks.

 **The Apocalypse and Daniel - Pattern Recognition**  
Pattern Recognition  
biblaridion.info

This obviously upset Nicholas (we are on first name terms, aren't we?). I thought that I had made a valid point. Some of what Nicholas says about fascism is true but it is not just a right-wing phenomenon. In the West (right wing) fascism has Governments kow-towing to corporations, in the East the State-Owned Enterprises (SOE) are kow-towing to the Communist Party. You say tomatoe I say tomato but they are both fascists. You obviously do not like the Anglo-Zionists being compared to fascists. Nor do you like them being called Imperialists. You probably do not like my term Globo-Bolsheviks either, which I use to describe a globalist elite that want to impose a quasi-communist lifestyle on the masses. They are both fascist systems with different (left/right) labels because at the core fascism is authoritarian, monolithic, dogmatic, oligarchic and undemocratic. In one system you have a kleptocracy and the other a corporatocracy but both systems have considerable overlap; both are striving to achieve a technocracy. Both systems manipulate ethnicity and both systems are capable of imperialism. Well I am sorry if you don't like the truth. And Soleimani did not get a metaphysical truth dropped on him he got a rocket up his \*\*\*. And that rocket was fired by the Anglo-Zionists that you support. So, if you like metaphysical truth how about this – Trump has cut tax for the rich, pumped up the stock market and **bigley** (his word) increased the defence (LOL) budget. Neither has Trump dismantled any monopolies (including the social media corporations). **It is a good thing that he is not a corporatist** because that would make him a fascist wouldn't it? The full thread (screenshots) follow, including the second truth bomb that got me banned.

**Nicholas Molodyko** @molodyko · 12h  
 The truth is that fascism is not primarily an economic or political system, but a religious and metaphysical system. Fascism as a metaphysical system posits a "base" nature of reality, given in its most basic formula as blood and soil.

**Nicholas Molodyko** @molodyko Follow  
 Fascism, in its most famous variants, as displayed in Japan, Germany, Italy and Spain, depended on a cult of unreflective worship of power, ethnic identity and action. In fact, it would not go too far to sum up fascism as a system of worship of the unreflective as such.

4:36 AM - 8 Jan 2020

1 Retweet 4 Likes

**Nicholas Molodyko** @molodyko · 9h  
 Whereas liberalism makes an argument, and then tries to break free of it. The basic idea of liberal thought is that whatever you identify as, something new, and different, is always better. If this new and different thing was once thought to be bad or inferior, that's great.

**Nicholas Molodyko** @molodyko · 9h  
 Liberalism might be considered as the cult of total reflectivity, of always looking upon yourself from the outside. While liberalism and fascism are two vastly different systems, they share a common metaphysical base that is of utmost sociological and psychological importance.

**Nicholas Molodyko** @molodyko · 9h  
 It gets complicated from here. Point to understand that both classical fascism and modern liberalism are powered by a manufactured metaphysical quality —a religiosity that triggers your brain, heart and possibly your soul. You could call it brainwashing and you'd not be wrong.

**Patrick Henningsen** @21WIRE · 11h  
 Replying to @molodyko  
 But also national identity, flag.

**Nicholas Molodyko** @molodyko · 11h  
 Yes, but I would instead say a common ideal of beauty or aesthetic. An image of godliness that is not associated with an Abrahamic religion, but pagan.

9 more replies see sub

**Metaphysical Truth bomb**



**Paulus Wyns** @PaulusWyns · 11h  
 Replying to @molodyko @21WIRE  
 We cannot all be godly like Epstein or Weinstein or...(fill in the blanks). Zionism is pagan idol worship. If you had the faith of Abraham you would do the works of Abraham.

**The Apocalypse and Daniel - Pattern Recognition**  
 Pattern Recognition  
 biblaridion.info

## This was the sub

**Nicholas Molodyko** @molodyko · 12h  
 Replying to @21WIRE  
 Yes, but I would instead say a common ideal of beauty or aesthetic. An image of godliness that is not associated with an Abrahamic religion, but pagan.

2   1   1

**Patrick Henningsen** @21WIRE · 11h  
 Certainly, Nazi Germany had that in spades. A similar argument could be made about today's Israel as well. I think Revanchism is a word which comes to mind too, Musollini had a big 'Roman' theme in his soliloquys.

2   1   1

**Nicholas Molodyko** @molodyko · 11h  
 No. This is a corporate venture just like World War II was and every war since then. It is fabricated. Fascism is a corporate fabrication.

1   1   1

**Patrick Henningsen** @21WIRE · 11h  
 Yes, I know the corporate feature, but for WWII Germany, Italy, and contemporary Israel, revanchism is the a raison d'tre of the fascist state - to reclaim territorial heritage as guiding mission. Material goal is lebensraum, no?

1   1   2

---

**Patrick Henningsen** @21WIRE · 12h  
 Replying to @21WIRE @molodyko  
 But the important question is: what constitutes the tipping point 'beyond the pale'? There are nationalist states, leaders, pious, ethnocentric etc. But what secures label of fascism? Is it the crimes of state against its people, or on neighboring states?

**Nicholas Molodyko** @molodyko · 12h  
 Please leave the Jews out of it. And also the idea of "nationalist" states is bogus, too. It is a global corporate ideal. Like a Calvin Klein advertisement from the 1980s. A feeling or mood. It exists only in the mind. Metaphysical like Christianity.

1   1   1


**Patrick Henningsen** @21WIRE · 11h  
 Wasn't referring to Jews, was referring to state of Israel. Valid to compare its attributes. I agree with you on the 'feeling', my argument is about raison d'tre that motivates, drives movement, normally material gains. Important.

1   1   1

**Nicholas Molodyko** @molodyko · 11h  
 Thanks! I get really tired of the trolls peddling antisemitism on Twitter so I am sensitive.

1   1   1

This was the result:



Now Nicholas makes a lot of good points in his post about fascism. There is a connection **between fascism and religion**. So, his “metaphysical” point has some merit but rather it should be called what it is – *a war for global hegemony*. However, the elephant in the room is that Talmudic Judaism is racist, and Zionism is Imperialist. Fascism is not just “corporatism” and it has a religious and an ethnocentric element (as Nicholas admits). Talmudic Judaism and Zionism has both of those elements in spades. Jesus says that we need to take the beam out of our own eye before removing the splinter out of someone else’s eye. That is good advice for all of us. ***The Jews could benefit from some introspection.***



*Bet you didn't know that did you? <sup>1</sup>*

## Further Investigation

All this stimulated further investigation and I came across a number of Nicholas's blog posts. Nicholas is a Trump supporter and he makes much of the Abrahamic faiths (including Christianity). What struck me is that Nicholas seems to be the Jewish version of the Christian-Zionists. His version is tolerant of Christianity. This brings to mind the much bandied about term **Judaeo-Christianity** which is a mythical beast similar to the **Chimera** (because it does not exist). Christians make the mistake of believing that Judaism is the Old Testament (Torah) when it is actually the Talmud. Judaism undermined the first century Church from within and nearly destroyed it. By the third century there were **no more Jewish-Christians**. Christianity had become a predominately gentile religion. **Mission accomplished.** Is Nicholas's ecumenical desire genuine? Call me a sceptic but even the friendly view among Judaism is that Christians can only ever be second class citizens (if they abide by the Noahide Laws). For all the nice words about Christianity Judaists have always been "wolves in sheep's clothing" and Christian-Zionists are viewed **as useful idiots**. So, I do not believe your platitudes. If Christian-Zionists adopt Temple Judaism within less than one hundred years Christianity will no longer exist. Islam (a rabbinic construct) regards Jesus as a great prophet but not as the messiah. That is the ultimate goal of the Judaists – demote Jesus to the role of a prophet and gradually integrate Christianity (and Islam) into Judaism. The ultimate goal is a one world

Abrahamic religion based on Judaism. Within this unified religion Christianity has (at best) a secondary, submissive role (if it survives at all). Judaism is like the cuckoo who lays an egg in the nest of another bird and evicts the host's young or eggs from the nest. The strategy worked in the first and second centuries why not try it again? The Judaists helped create a religion fractured by Christological dogma and confusion. Henceforth no Jew would ever want to convert to Christianity. A religion that cannot make up its mind whether God is one or three etc is nothing other than idol worship (so the Talmud). Not only that but fornication was encouraged in the early Church (I wonder who promoted that? Are the same people doing it again?). No devout Jew would ever convert to such a religion. **Mission accomplished.** And yet here we are again.

The reason that I have taken this sceptical view is because many of his other posts are disingenuous or downright intellectually dishonest (but very clever). I am starting to think that he might be a hasbara troll, gaslighting and muddying the waters. That is why I want to highlight a number of things said on his blog and warn Christians not to be deceived and do not be frightened into silence by the **victim card**. Similar ideas are appearing more frequently across the internet and I believe that a new strategy is being implemented.

Firstly, the blog demonstrates support for Trump. Now Trump and his family members have close connections with the Chabad Lubavitch movement, and he is definitely an Anglo-Zionist. Whether he is a crypto-Jew, or a Christian-Zionist is debateable but by his own admission he is **the chosen one**. Whatever the case may be, religion is only a tool because the primary goal is money and power. In that sense religion is only a vehicle – a means to an end.

In one of the above tweets Nicholas says that the “nation state” is bogus...it is a corporate construct. That is just silly nonsense. Nation states have existed since the begin of civilization—go look at the **table of nations in Genesis 10** (LOL). *Did Calvin Klein write that?* Trump is building a wall around his nation state. I thought that only globalists wanted the so called (unfeasible) open society? I think that you might find your concept hard to sell to fellow Trumpsters. Trump is certainly not promoting an “ethno-state” but he does want to make “America Great” and therefore he believes in the “bogus construct” of the nation. So, you are either confused or gaslighting. I opt for gaslighting. Now we turn to some of the blog posts.

As Nicholas says, he is very “sensitive” and ready to play the victim card. Now that is a debate stopper if I ever heard one. If Jews put themselves forward as morally superior, then they should expect criticism. The victim card no longer works neither does the anti-Semite trope.

## Blog excerpts

<https://blogs.mediapart.fr/nicholas-molodyko/blog/281219/memory-eternal>

In 2020, I hope **we can gain the momentum** to encourage the entire world to rewrite the memory of the Holocaust narrative. This would cause a sea change. Because that genocide along with other ones like the Bengal and Holodomor famines were mass murders carried out by transnational corporations and the very wealthy.

**Who is we?** Note that there is no mention of the Anglo-Zionists. It is all amorphous transnational corporations. Pray tell, who runs those corporations? Me thinks we are getting ready for another major act of holocaust revisionism. Nicholas calls out the neoconservatives but does not tell us who they are:

We can trace every war (and every war path) before it and every war since it **to that cabal of Neocon war mongers.**

He does not inform us that most of the neocons are Jews (even the Jewish newspaper Haaretz admits this). He does not apprise us of the **Yinon plan**. He does not give us the testimony of fellow Jew General Wesley Clark who was amazed (and had the integrity to inform us) at finding out that neocons planned to conquer five countries in seven years. Instead, Nicholas devotes a whole section to psychology. He disparages the discipline and blames its necessity on Christianity (LOL), why is he trying to distance himself from psychology?

The development and evolution of psychology can be traced to **problems in Western Christianity** in reference to **the fear of death** and the true spiritual requirements of repentance and forgiveness. The thoughts that go through one's mind can drive one to a state of mental illness.

It is Christian "fear of death" that is making us "mental" (LOL). If anything, it is the Jews who are confused about what they believe about death – In the words of the Jewish newspaper Haaretz: *"From dark netherworld populated by ghosts to reincarnation to multiple souls: The Jewish concept of the afterlife has been to hell and back".*<sup>2</sup> In the time of Jesus the Sadducees rejected the afterlife and the Pharisees believed in the resurrection. Epstein wanted to cryogenically freeze his head and his genitals (now that is mental). Many Jews do not believe in any sort of afterlife but regard the genetic survival of their kin as a form of afterlife. A materialistic deterministic (Marxist) view. The Bible clearly preaches **resurrection** and an **earthly kingdom**. It is the Jews who in fact suffer mental disorders (as well as brilliance)

through their proclivity for endogamy. However, in all this, Nicholas neglects to tell us that **the father of psychology was a himself a Jew called Sigmund Freud.**

Psychology in the West has become not only a profitable medical profession but also a very lucrative enterprise in the corporate world, especially marketing, advertising—government **“perception management”** campaigns to manufacture public **consent for wars** and such garner billions.

Yes, psychological manipulation. **It is called propaganda** or when used in advertising it is called marketing. **Edward Louis Bernays** was the father of public relations and propaganda, referred to as one of the 100 most influential Americans of the 20th century. Edward Bernays was born to a **Jewish family**, the son of Ely Bernays and Anna Freud Bernays. His great grandfather was Isaac Bernays, chief rabbi of Hamburg. Bernays was a "double nephew" of Viennese psychoanalyst Sigmund Freud—by virtue of his mother, Freud's sister, and of his father's sister, Martha Bernays Freud, who married Sigmund. You forgot to mention that? Oh dear. What is the matter does it not fit the narrative?

Once you realize the Nazis were covertly supported by transnational financiers and corporations just like the Bolsheviks were, and think in terms of centralized power **and subverting the media** and coercing the public, the role of corporations and the very wealthy both then and now becomes material.

The Nazis and the Bolsheviks were both supported by transnational financiers and corporations. But crucially Nicholas neglects to tell us who was behind the Wall Street funding of Bolshevism or/and the Nazis. Who profited from the wars? Name some names.... **go on I dare you.** Don't hide behind the nebulous phrase “financiers and corporations”. And while all these distractions were going on the Federal Reserve was captured. By whom? And who owns the media in the USA? Who owns Hollywood?

What do the three ascetic Abrahamic religions all have in common? Christ. In [false] imitation of Christ, corporations are trying to erase all **authentic record of Christianity** and rewrite history with their own fiction about the alleged human spirit —not that of the three peaceful, ascetic religions of Orthodox Christianity, Orthodox Judaism, and Orthodox Islam—

Here at last comes the soft sell. We all have the Abrahamic heritage in common. We are all **Judaeo-Christians** now. All the trouble in the world is the fault of fascist corporations. No one runs those banks and corporations. No one sits on those think tanks. Look it is wrong and simplistic **to scapegoat** one particular ethnicity or race for all the troubles in the world. There is plenty of blame to go around. But you do make it incredibly easy to be blamed, don't you? Your protests of innocence and your dissembling have the opposite effect. ***Me thinketh thou dost protest too much.***

The works of Abraham are that he believed God and he believed in the promised messiah (sacrifice) that God would provide. They were **works of faith**. You do not believe in Jesus Christ and Judaism **will never except Christianity on an equal footing**. Anyway, true Christians have no desire for equal billing with Judaism for if Jesus is the messiah (**and he is**) then there can only be one outcome. There will be a one world religion, **but it will not be Judaism**.

The nation, who was named after **Jacob** (whose name means "deceiver" or "trickster") will not be saved by deception this time. You can revise history all you want. This time you will be wrestled to a halt and your victim card will cut no ice with Jesus Christ (your rejected messiah). You might be able to "block" me so that you can't hear the truth, but you will not be able to "block" God. **How is that for trolling?**

---

<sup>1</sup> This is the biased and incomplete Wikipedia version. I have quoted the propaganda version so that you know that I am not fabricating the story. Do your own research. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Haavara\\_Agreement](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Haavara_Agreement)

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.haaretz.com/jewish/.premium-what-is-the-jewish-afterlife-like-1.5362876>