

Book review

This article is inspired by an excellent book review called *Reflections on Hilaire Belloc's "the Jews" (1922)*¹ who wrote about what has sometimes been called the "Jewish Problem". Of course, anyone who proports to examine the question honestly is immediately labelled an anti-Semite. There can be no doubt that there is a problem as the Jews have been expelled from every country where they ever lived *even in antiquity before the rise of Christianity*. One forum has produced a historical list² of over a thousand places ranging as far back as 1,200 BC. The list ends with the joke comment; "Imagine being kicked out of one thousand bars, and then blaming the bartender every time". Of Kissinger it is said that; "In other moments of exasperation, he would note that "any people that has been persecuted for two thousand years must be doing something wrong".³

The Mark of Cain

Before we turn to some points of interest from the book review it is perhaps pertinent to relate certain biblical facts which are largely ignored by Christians and most certainly ignored by the Jews.

When Eve gave birth to her first child she thought she had given birth to **the promised messiah**; "*I have gotten a man **even Yahweh**" (Gen 4:1)*⁴ is how the Hebrew reads with the word ("gotten" or "acquired" forming a pun on the name Cain a word whose stem is related to acquisition i.e., merchants). However, this child was not the messiah and instead ended up murdering his brother. The murder was a result of envy because of the rejection of his sacrifice which was the fruit of the **cursed ground** grown in the sweat of man's brow. The sacrifice of Cain represents salvation **by works** (sweat). Righteousness cannot come by works, as **works without faith** will always be rejected. Cain was ejected from the vicinity of the sanctuary and condemned to become "a vagabond in the earth" (Gen 4:12). Thus, the myth of the "vagabond or wandering Jew" is born.⁵

¹ Reflections on Hilaire Belloc's "the Jews" (1922) <http://www.unz.com/article/reflections-on-hilaire-bellocs-the-jews-1922/>

² Note: jewishhistory.org.il has a list of all of the events archived here: <https://archive.is/aRd7D> -- ctrl+f "expel" or "expul" for hundreds of examples. We have not posted the originally compiled list because some of the hateful comments that it attracts, nevertheless, facts are facts and they can be confirmed by searching the original historical Jewish archive above.

³ Walter Isaacson, *Kissinger: a Biography* (Simon & Schuster, Inc., New York, and Faber & Faber Ltd, London1992) page 561

⁴ The name Yahweh is proceeded by the Direct Object Marker (DOM) as are the names of Eve and Cain. It is usually left untranslated...we have translated (et) here as "even" for emphasis but it can be simply left out, because it is a noun "marker" some translations that render; *with the help of Yahweh* are incorrect (a "dynamic" rendering, basically the translators guess). In its simplest form: *I have gotten a man Yahweh*

⁵ Le Juif Errant (The Wandering Jew), an 1844 novel by Eugène Sue, Le Juif errant (opera), an 1852 opera by Fromental Halévy, loosely inspired by Sue's novel, The Wandering Jew (Heym novel) 1981, The Wandering

It might be thought that applying Cain as "typological" to the whole nation is just another anti-Semitic trope, however, God (and later Jesus) use similar typology, namely the sending of **the scapegoat** away from the sanctuary. This is the ultimate irony as many times the Jews complain that they are being "scapegoated" for society's ills. We are victims, they declare.... handy scapegoats.... the gentiles blame on us for their sins. That may indeed be *partially true*, but it is one of those generalisations, especially because the appeal to "scapegoating" is always used to avoid any sense of accountability or responsibility, therefore it can be largely ignored. The scapegoat (like Cain) was sent away from the sanctuary bearing the nations sins. It was sent into exile it was not sacrificed⁶, in fact, like Cain **it was under divine protection**.

"Behold, thou hast driven me out this day from the face of the earth; and from thy face shall I be hid; and **I shall be a fugitive and a vagabond in the earth**; and it shall come to pass, that every one that findeth me shall slay me. 15 And the LORD said unto him, Therefore whosoever slayeth Cain, vengeance shall be taken on him sevenfold. And the LORD set a mark upon Cain, lest any finding him should kill him" (Gen 4:1-15).

The point is that Cain (and the scapegoat) **could one day be restored and cleansed**. They could be retrieved from banishment and healed **because God is merciful**. So, despite the scapegoat and Cain being excised from the divine presence there was still hope. They were not to be destroyed or killed **they were under divine protection**. The scapegoat was restored from the Babylonian exile (after wandering in dry places) but they still refused to take in a clean spirit (the gospel). Jesus warned that such behaviour would result in complete insanity.⁷

Jew (1923 film), The Wandering Jew (1933 film), The Eternal Jew (1934 film), a British fantasy also known as The Wandering Jew, "The Wandering Jew" (ballad), a 17th-century English "broadside Ballad"

⁶ It is ironic that the Rabbi's changed the ritual, instead of releasing the scapegoat they started throwing it from a high cliff and dashing it on the rocks. They reasoned that if they released the goat it might eventually return to the sanctuary (still bearing the nations sin) therefore it was better to kill it. In changing the ritual, the Jews were **condemning themselves to death** and metaphorically declaring that they did not deserve to be recalled from exile.

⁷ Luke 11:21-26 see **PRITA** (Pattern Recognition in the Apocalypse), pages 228-230 @ <http://www.biblaridion.info/html/ch11.html>

The apostle Paul relates a miracle (an acted parable) concerning the fall of the Jewish temple and the priestly dynasty of Ananias (and his relations) using the trope of the **vagabond Jew**:

“Then certain of the **vagabond Jews**, exorcists, took upon them to call over them which had evil spirits the name of the Lord Jesus, saying, We adjure you by Jesus whom Paul preacheth. And there were seven sons of one Sceva, a Jew, and chief of the priests, which did so. And the evil spirit answered and said, Jesus I know, and Paul I know; but who are ye? And the man in whom the evil spirit was leaped on them, and overcame them, and prevailed against them, so that they fled out of that house naked and wounded” (Acts 19:13-16).

Here then we have "Cain" (**vagabond Jews** i.e., Sadducee priests returned from the Babylonian exile) using "magic incantations" and the name of Jesus⁸ to expel "unclean spirits" (demons). These "seven" Jewish priests are beaten and abused by the madman and they flee the house (temple) naked. In other words, the Sadducee dynasty and its temple would be destroyed, and the Jews would end up **as vagabonds once again**.

Einstein said that the definition of insanity is repeating the same behaviour and expecting a different outcome. This would apply particularly to the Jewish people. Is it not time for some introspection? Should they not be asking themselves what they have done wrong rather than blame the whole world as being anti-Jew. This is indeed strange when the most revered figure in Christianity is a Jew.

⁸ According to the Encyclopedia of Magic, Myth, and Mysticism; "The [Jewish] exorcist then uses the power of the demonic spirit's own name to "overpower" it, by round after round of scripted ritual actions involving threats and rebukes, getting more intense and invasive with each effort. A few ceremonies on record reached the point of actually "beating" the demon out, but most simply involved verbal coercion". <https://www.myjewishlearning.com/article/jewish-exorcism/> Reprinted with permission from the Encyclopedia of Magic, Myth, and Mysticism (Llewellyn Worldwide). If this is correct, then the Jewish (priestly) exorcism was inferring that the madman was "possessed" by a demon called "Jesus" and "Paul". In other words, the "madman" was infected with a demon called "Christianity" that required priestly removal to restore him to the "sanity" of Judaism. Instead, the madman beat them and stripped them, and they fled naked. Nakedness is the condition of Adam before he used the "fig" leaf. In effect they are being told that they will be banished from the sanctuary (garden/temple etc) and they will not be able to cover their nakedness with nationalism (Zionism) or "Jewish exclusivity". The fig tree was cursed. Cain was banished. The scapegoat was sent away.

Book review talking points

Now we turn to some important points from the book review. The reviewer (Andrew Joyce) quotes the following out of Belloc's book (see the original article for references):⁹

There took place in Cyprus and in the Libyan cities under Hadrian a Jewish movement against the surrounding non-Jewish society far exceeding in violence the late wreckage of Russia, which to-day fills all our thoughts. The massacres were wholesale and so were the reprisals. The Jews killed a quarter of a million of the people of Cyprus alone, and the Roman authorities answered with a repression which was a pitiless war. One might pile up instances indefinitely. The point is, that the average educated man has never been allowed to hear of them (132).

Joyce adds - "These epoch-defining events, unless they can be adapted in some fashion to clearly show the Jew as victim, are relegated to mere footnotes or insignificant details in the vast catalogues of our history".

This is important for two reasons. Firstly, the Jews were the instigators of a vicious genocide against the Cypriots, but it is often deliberately ignored because it does not fit the "victim" narrative (It is not the only time the Jews have provoked trouble which has been ignored by "history"). Secondly, this incident is mentioned in PRITA because it forms part of the "Kitos Wars" that Jesus warned about when he said "*nation will rise against nation*" the actual Greek being "*ethnos*" or **people against people**.¹⁰ Riots and ethnic trouble between the Jews and their neighbours in the countries where they lived erupted all over the Roman Empire. This was deliberate Jewish trouble making supported by the Babylonian Jews of Parthia in preparation for the Bar Kochba (false Messiah) revolt against Rome that Jesus also warned about. Stirring up ethnic troubles and race hate? Making divisions? Does that sound familiar?

Belloc, writing in 1922 was almost prophetic with this insight;

"He (the Jew) shall in every respect be regarded, by a legal fiction, as identical with the community in which he happens to be settled for the moment, but at the same time he is to have some special relation with the Jewish State". Joyce comments that, "Belloc also heavily doubted that a Jewish state would rely upon its own military strength to ensure its security".

⁹ Reflections on Hilaire Belloc's "the Jews" (1922) <http://www.unz.com/article/reflections-on-hilaire-bellocs-the-jews-1922/>

¹⁰ PRITA (Pattern Recognition in the Apocalypse), page 167 @ <http://www.biblaridion.info/html/ch9.html>

Belloc was very prescient with this observation in 1922:

To put an end to this state of affairs is impossible so long as parliamentary government, with its profound corruption, endures. The only force capable of dealing with the plutocratic evil of an alien monopoly upon this scale is a king; but a king we have not among the modern nations. But the parliamentary system will not last forever. It is already in active dissolution among ourselves, and badly hit elsewhere. The king may not be so far off as people think him to be. At any rate, in one way or another the thing will cease, and will probably cease in violence.

If only Belloc was alive in 2019. What would he say about “democracy” ruled by powerful elites (oligarchy)? Corruption has increased tenfold since 1922. Everything is corrupt. Everything is propaganda. Especially in the USA where both sides of politics have been captured by those **whose names must not be mentioned**. And the international financial system has also been captured by (((them))). Belloc is correct in saying that we need a monarch. However, not just any king, but the one appointed by God – **Jesus Christ**.

All nations blessed through Abraham

Historically the Jews have been dealt with in a number of ways one of them being the choice between forced conversion to Christianity or expulsion such as happened in the middle ages particularly in Spain under Roman Catholicism but pressure to convert to Christianity continued in Eastern Europe and in post-enlightenment Europe. The other solution adopted by Hitler was genocide. Of course, both approaches are morally repugnant, monstrous and unjustifiable. The Jewish people are still **under divine protection** - they bare the "mark of Cain" no harm must come to them and the idea that they can be forcibly converted is frankly ridiculous and odious as it does away with the notion of freewill which is inimical to all of God's dealings with man. God is not a tyrant and his subjects should not be tyrants either.

The Jews are a “special people” **of that there can be no doubt**. Their very history is proof of the existence of God. The Jews represent much of what is best in human nature – all the great men of faith come from the Jews – Abraham, Moses, David, Hezekiah etc until finally the Jewish messiah appeared. Who would not want to be associated with such a people? However, they also represent the worst that can be found (we will not list those characteristics here). They are both the best of people and at the same time the worst of people. They are a **distilled microcosm** of what is **best** but also what is **worst** in human

nature. As gentile Christians we have no right to boast of our superiority, nor do we (as nations or individuals) have the right to punish whom God has protected. We do however have the right to criticize, to point out malfeasance and to urge repentance. In fact, as Christians **that is our duty**. As for punishment leave that to God. **It is coming soon enough.**